



PARTMENT OF COMMER. UNITED STATES

United States Pat nt and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATT	ORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/951,6	30 10/16,	/97 VANCE		Α	07099.0010-
		TM02/0718	7	EXA	MINER
	FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW			POINVIL F	
	& DUNNER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1300I ST WASHINGT	REET N W DN DC 20005	5-3315		2164 DATE MAILED:	2
					07/19/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

Application No.

08/951,630

Applicant(s)

VANCE ET AL.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Frantzy Poinvil

Art Unit 2164



The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the correspo	ndence address				
Period for Reply	·					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory percommunication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	tion. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro tatute, cause the application to become ABANDON	ays will om the mailing date of this IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Apr 2</u>	7, 2001					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4) X Claim(s) _99-114		_ is/are pending in the applica				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	i	s/are withdrawn from considera				
5)		is/are allowed.				
6) 🗓 Claim(s) <u>99-114</u>		is/are rejected.				
7)		is/are objected to.				
8)	are subject to re	striction and/or election requirem				
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		·				
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected to by the Examiner.	, ,				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		_disapproved.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exar		į				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bur *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the second of the second of the priority application. 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ational Stage				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
Attachment(s)						
15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).					
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)				
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:					

Serial Number: 08/950,630

Art Unit: 2164

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 99-112 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Acebo et al (US Patent No. 6,023,679).

As per claims 99 and 100, Acebo et al discloses a Pre and Post-ticketed trave reservation information management system. The system comprises a travel planning and reporting method and system. Note the abstract. The method comprises storing in a database a set of frequent trip records, each frequent trip record associated with a traveler according to a passenger name record (note column 4, lines 53-56) and reflecting a travel itinerary without any associated travel dates.

Note column 4, lines 56-62. Acebo teaches that a trip request may be made by either a traveler or an agent. The traveler or agent is then prompted to indicate a trip request corresponding to a frequent trip record found in a passenger name record (PNR). Note column 9, lines 1-11. The system then prompts the agent or traveler to for the automatic booking of a new travel reservation associated with the trip request. Note column 9, lines 12-42. The reference does not explicitly state providing dates and providing booking scheduled based on the provided dates. Providing

Serial Number: 08/950,630

Art Unit: 2164

dates and providing scheduled based on the provided travel dates would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art because dates are usually provided in most types of schedules or reservations in order to ensure that tickets/seats are available for a particular date/time so that departures/arrival are confirmed. Thus, the prompting steps would have been automatically displayed to the agent/user for input purposes.

As per claim 101, displaying a set of frequent trip records associated with the particular traveler and stored in the database would have been obvious to the skilled artisan as such would have been easily retrieved from the PNR for review and analysis purposes.

As per claim 102, a log of travel reservations is stored in the PNR.

As per claim 103, having an expense report associated with each entry in the log is not explicitly taught by Acebo et al. However, Acebo et al teaches that it is well known in the art to obtain trip expenses associated with a traveler's trip by obtaining expenses from different tables associated with a customer's trip. Note column 3, lines 55-67 of Acebo et al. Obtaining expenses associated with each entry in the log would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art for employee fraud analysis and expenses purposes.

As per claims 104-108, creating, automatically populating at least one field of the new expense report or prompting the particular traveler for information to complete a new expense report would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in order to fill every entry of a particular employee record from the expense database in association with particular records for analysis by the employee's organization.

Serial Number: 08/950,630 Page 4

Art Unit: 2164

As per claims 109-110, Acebo et al checks whether components of a new travel reservation comply with predetermined policies or agreements governing travel associated with an entity. Note column 13, lines 6-14 of Acebo et al.

As per claims 111-112, note column 3 of Acebo et al.

3. Claims 113-114 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Acebo et al (US Patent No. 6,023,679) as applied to claims 99-112 above, and further in view of Kahl et al.

The teachings of Acebo et al are disccussed above. Acebo et al fails to teach a calendar having icons representing events. As per claims 113-114, Acebo et al does not explicitly teach displaying a calendar showing at least one month divided into days with at least two icons on days associated with the new travel reservation wherein the icons represent components on the travel reservation. Displaying a calendar showing months divided into days with icons representing a particular item of interest is well known in the art as taught by Kahl et al. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to introduce the feature of Kahl et al into Acebo et al in order to provide instant instantly at a glance.

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Webber et al (US Patent No. 5,331,546) discloses a trip planner optimizing travel itinerary selection conforming to individualized travel policies.

Serial Number: 08/950,630

Art Unit: 2164

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frantzy Poinvil, whose telephone number is (703) 305-9779. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

The fax phone number for this Art Unit is (703) 305-0040.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

FP

14Jul01

Frantzy Poinvil
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2164